Submit a California Public Records Act Request to Carmel USD

The Carmel Unified School District (CUSD) is committed to transparency and providing easy access to public records pursuant to the California Public Records Act (CPRA). The California Public Records Act gives the public the right to access records created and maintained by public agencies in the course of their normal business.

Pursuant to Government Code Section 6252(e), a public record is defined as “any writing containing information relating to the conduct of the public’s business prepared, owned, used, or retained by any state or local agency regardless of physical form or characteristics.” Public records requests may be used to obtain "records," which include a wide variety of documents and other materials (including print, photographic, and electronic formats) that were created or obtained by the organization and are, at the time the request is filed, in the organization's possession and control.

Records subject to disclosure under the CPRA may include email or other communications from members of the public or which reference them. While the District reviews all responsive records to determine if this information should be disclosed, in many cases references to members of the public, or the content of their communications to the District are subject to disclosure. Permissible exemptions from disclosure include documents that invade an individual's right to privacy (e.g., privacy in certain personnel, medical, or student records) or hinder the government's need to perform its assigned functions in a reasonably efficient manner (e.g., maintaining confidentiality of investigative records, official information, records related to pending litigation, and preliminary notes or memoranda).

Name of requestor: *
First and last name
Robert Kahn

Email of requestor: (Responses will be provided to this email address) *
ol.com
Mailing address of requestor:

Carmel
Your request: (To enable staff to respond to your request as efficiently as possible, please include: The date range for the records requested; the department that holds the records (if known); and the types of records, including any important keywords. Please do not include any confidential information.)

Ms. Hull,

Thank you for your timely response. As I have complimented you in the past, I am pleased to so again. CUSD is fortunate to have you Ms. Hull.

Regarding you comments below, please note that you, Mr. Knight and your colleague do not get to make up the rules as you go along - just as they did with pool lights, performing arts center and now with the stadium lights. You don’t get to decide what gets posted and what doesn’t and you certainly don’t get to tie together completely different subject matters and objectives such as late start and stadium lights - even though you would like to to rationalize your efforts. Please do yourself and your team a favor and reread the purpose and intent of the CPRA act.

As our goal here is to provide the best outcome for all stakeholders, such as “Mother Nature (environment), the students, your staff, the adjacent communities to the CHS and the view shed communities. In short, we want a thoughtful, progressive and environmentally sensitive solution to what you and your colleagues feel is necessary to support CUSD while also being just as sensitive our environment and the communities affected. We can do it all.

Ms. Hull, so that there is no confusion. Under the CPRA act, please provide the following. Please keep in mind that this is about information that exists now. Although we look forward to the EIR that you had mentioned, these requests are pertaining to information that you have at your disposal now. As you know, you are legally required to assist the public to not only provide the records, but also assist at defining and honing in on the specifics.

1) Pool lights and Performing arts center.

A - please provide an index of all information that you have on the process that your colleagues under to develop and construct the lights and other improvements that you did.

B) - please provide all specifications to the lumens produced by the pool lights, all visuals produced to assess the impact their impact on the community.

C) - please provide all of Mr. Knights comments and review of the impact of the lights. Any and all comments he made about the lights is public information. From my understanding, he visited with members of the community and acknowledged how impactful they are. Please provide his comments that were made internally. I presume we would rather use this process and mechanism to uncover the facts, rather than - depose you, Mr. Knight and others involved with this process.

2) Stadium Lights and environmental impact.

A - please provide an index of all of your information on this subject matter.

B) - please provide the anticipated lumens, in total, for all the lights that you are proposing, the sight lines to the lights and all points that the lights will impact - from adjacent, to the hills, north, east and south and...
C) - please provide all information you have the refraction impact of the moisture in the air - perhaps using different amounts of moisture in the air. We get substantial fog in the area, so it's likely that any proposed lights will be operating in a high moisture environment.

D) Please provide all negative impacts (as determined to date) that your lights will have on the environment at large as well as the adjacent and view communities.

C) Please provide the delta of lumens between the current pool lights and the stadium lights. Perhaps if you can please provide a side by side comparison, it would be appreciated. My understanding is that there is multiplier effect - if the pool lights are 1x and the stadium lights are 5 or 6x the lumens of the pool lights, moisture will then magnify that by 10x - meaning the light pollution will be 50x the current pool lights. Again, i am for from being a scientist on this, let alone a scholar of any type (without doubt, should have gone to trig class rather than skip it to go Mr. Agan's autoshop to work my '68 Mustang - btw, Mr. Agan was best!!!)

3) Alternatives to the stadium lights.

A) Please provide an index of data and information pertaining to the analysis and study of alternatives

B) - please provide all data, reports and any other analysis you and your colleagues have done regarding alternatives such as utilizing CMS

C) - to be more specific, please provide your analysis and studies about using CMS adn the development of an environmentally sensitive sports complex. You have the land, much better parking and with the appropriate architecture, you can create a progressive, environmentally sensitive sports complex that serves the environment your student body, your staff and the communities far and wide.

To be clear Ms. Hull,

Although you appear to be cherry picking what you would like to post - you don't have the liberty to do that.

Please consider the above new CPRA requests with 1, 2 and 3 specific requests that pertain to information that you have now, not information you are going to create. My impress is that you have 10 days to provide the above information (or 14 if you deem it's necessary due to specific issues as outlined in the the CPRA.

Thank you for your time and consideration. It's unfortunate the amount of time and energy that is being spent on this (and will continue to be spent) in light (pun intended) that all want what is best for the environment, young people and communities near and far. We just need to seek a solution that take care of the environment and all stakeholders.

I look forward to the information that you will be forwarding.

Have a nice day.

Thank you,

Best,
Robert

Robert Kahn

On Aug 8, 2022, at 9:04 PM, Jessica Hull <jhull@carmelunified.org> wrote:

Mr. Kahn,

The California Public Records Act requests page in question is for CPRA requests and the responses to said requests. It is not a blog, nor a conversation forum of any kind. If requests for records are made through the form, that form is posted online and any responsive records, or requests for clarification, are then posted online as well. That is the extent of the CPRA process. It does not encompass additional records outside of the scope of the request itself.

As this concerns the proposed stadium lights project at CHS, as you are well aware, the District is in the process of revising the draft EIR for recirculation in response to timely comments received during the original public review period. Any comments on the revised draft EIR or proposed project before the revised draft EIR is circulated for public review and comment are premature and untimely.

At the appropriate time, the District will provide public notice of the availability of the revised draft EIR, at which time members of the public are encouraged to submit comments during the 45-day public review and comment period. Please be advised that, in order to be included as part of the administrative record and receive a response as part of the final EIR, comments must be received during the 45-day public comment period. Comments or other input received outside of this public review and comment period are untimely. Therefore, the District encourages you to submit any comments on the revised draft EIR and/or proposed project once the second round of public review commences to ensure informed and meaningful participation in the environmental review process.

Jessica Hull (she/her/hers)
Chief Communications Officer
Carmel Unified School District

On Mon, Aug 8, 2022 at 5:43 PM Robert Kahn wrote:
Thank you.

Everyone wants the best for the kids, we just wanna do this in a thoughtful and environmentally and community smart sensitive way.

Have a nice evening.

Robert

Robert Kahn

( iPhone: Please excuse any typos or dictation errors that may be present. Thank you)
On Aug 8, 2022, at 5:34 PM, JoAnn Holbrook

Thank you Robert for your diligent, concise and much needed letters regarding CHS stadium light and more! I want you and CHS Staff and Board to know that we appreciate and agree with your communications.
Mark and Jo Ann Holbrook

On Mon, Aug 8, 2022 at 5:24 PM Robert Kahn wrote:
https://www.carmelunified.org/Page/6026

On Aug 8, 2022, at 4:57 PM, Robert Kahn wrote:

Mr. Knight and Ms. Hull

If you going to use your CPRAR page to do your PR, then you need to include all documents - especially the follow up ones suggesting that your lawyers, Ms. Johnson or Mr. Shannon have not provided the information requested. It’s certainly nothing personal against them, as we realize they just following your orders and doing their work. However, If you are going to list your lawyer’s responses, then you need to post the follow up highlighting the lack of actual information, but rather a lot of legalese. If you chose make the conversations public, which is great, but then you can’t cherry pick what works for you and your colleagues. You either put all or none. You’re working hard to frame the narrative to one of landowners vs the children, but you know that is not the case. The stadium lights as Mr. Knight and your other colleagues have presented is an environmental and community quality of life disaster that has an excellent solution via an environmentally sensitive sports complex at CMS. To suggest lighting up the sky with light pollution when there are excellent alternatives for the young people is irresponsible to the environment and the community.

Please put up the pictures of the pool lights as present to you and your colleagues. The pictures that were taken with Mr. Paul and Mr. Knight present and who I understand, said he could understand the residents concern at the time. Also, please put up my responses to your lawyers and any other responses from other members of the community. Please note that those documents are all in the public domain - so please follow through with consistency of information and provide all information. If you need the pictures that were taken when Mr. Knight and Mr. Paul were present, please check out SaveCarmel.org or let me know and I will be pleased to get them to you. Again, Mr. Knight and Mr. Paul should have files/digital copies. Fortunately, they are not NFT’s. :-) 

Again - if you are going post anything, then please post all - including the responses to your lawyers that live in San Diego and San Francisco. Ironically - that have limited feel for the issues that we are discussing, but I will leave that up to you and your team.

Please consider all correspondences with your lawyers by community members as public record.

If you, Mr. Knight and your colleague continue to use your “public access” to the information as a mechanism to frame the issues in a self serving and biased way, I presume we will unfortunately have to deal with your board’s lack of integrity at communicating all the information in non biased way. Your past
and current colleagues already did that with the pool lights and performing arts center by not following the appropriate protocol of doing an EIR or seeking public comment. That was unfortunate and simply not nice. Again, very gracious and generous on behalf of the donors, but seriously poor form on behalf of the school superintendent and board - not to include adjacent and surrounding communities. Your positioning and use of your platform to provide what appears to be misleading information needs to stop now. Fortunately, the days of providing misleading and or incomplete information, in my view, is coming to a close.

Thank you.

Have a nice evening.

Robert

The information contained in this email may be personal and confidential and is intended only for the recipients named above (and any of the recipient’s authorized designees). If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient of this message or of any attachments to the message, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message, including any attachments, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete the original message. Thank you.

Ms. Hull,

Thank you for your timely response. As I have complimented you in the past, I am pleased to so again. CUSD is fortunate to have you Ms. Hull.

Regarding you comments below, please note that you, Mr. Knight and your colleague do not get to make up the rules as you go along - just as they did with pool lights, performing arts center and now with the stadium lights. You don't get to decide what gets posted and what doesn't and you certainly don't get to tie together completely different subject matters and objectives such as late start and stadium lights - even though you would like to to rationalize your efforts. Please do yourself and your team a favor and reread the purpose and intent of the CPRA act.

As our goal hear is to provide the best outcome for all stakeholders, such as "Mother Nature ( environment), the students, your staff, the adjacent communities to the CHS and the view shed communities. In short, we want a thoughtful, progressive and environmentally sensitive solution to what you and your colleagues feel is necessary to support CUSD while also being just as sensitive our environment and the communities affected. We can do it all.

Ms. Hull, so that there is no confusion. Under the CPRA act, please provide the following. Please keep in mind that this is about information that exists now. Although we look forward to the EIR that you had mentioned, these requests are pertaining to information that you have at your disposal now. As you know, you are legally required to assist the public to not only provide the records, but also assist at defining and honing in on the specifics.

1) Pool lights and Performing arts center.
A - please provide an index of all information that you have on the process that your colleagues under to develop and construct the lights and other improvements that you did.

B) - please provide all specifications to the lumens produced by the pool lights, all visuals produced to assess the impact their impact on the community.

C) - please provide all of Mr. Knights comments and review of the impact of the lights. Any and all comments he made about the lights is public information. From my understanding, he visited with members of the community and acknowledged how impactful they are. Please provide his comments that were made internally. I presume we would rather use this process and mechanism to uncover the facts, rather than - depose you, Mr. Knight and others involved with this process.

2) Stadium Lights and environmental impact.

A - please provide an index of all of your information on this subject matter.

B) - please provide the anticipated lumens, in total, for all the lights that you are proposing, the sight lines to the lights and all points that the lights will impact - from adjacent, to the hills, north, east and south and west.

C) - please provide all information you have the refraction impact of the moisture in the air - perhaps using different amounts of moisture in the air. We get substantial fog in the area, so it's likely that any proposed lights will be operating in a high moisture environment.

D) Please provide all negative impacts (as determined to date) that your lights will have on the environment at large as well as the adjacent and view communities.

C) Please provide the delta of lumens between the current pool lights and the stadium lights. Perhaps if you can please provide a side by side comparison, it would be appreciated. My understanding is that there is multiplier effect - if the pool lights are 1x and the stadium lights are 5 or 6x the lumens of the pool lights, moisture will then magnify that by 10x - meaning the light pollution will be 50x the current pool lights. Again, i am for from being a scientist on this, let alone a scholar of any type (without doubt, should have gone to trig class rather than skip it to go Mr. Agan's autoshop to work my '68 Mustang - btw, Mr. Agan was best!!!)

3) Alternatives to the stadium lights.

A) Please provide an index of data and information pertaining to the analysis and study of alternatives

B) - please provide all data, reports and any other analysis you and your colleagues have done regarding alternatives such as utilizing CMS

C) - to be more specific, please provide your analysis and studies about using CMS and the development of an environmentally sensitive sports complex. You have the land, much better parking and with the appropriate architecture, you can create a progressive, environmentally sensitive sports complex that serves the environment your student body, your staff and the communities far and wide.

To be clear Ms. Hull,

Although you appear to be cherry picking what you would like to post - you don't have the liberty to do that.

Please consider the above new CPRA requests with 1, 2 and 3 specific requests that pertain to information.

https://docs.google.com/forms/d/1CWRug8NQ4J4PpjFT1_h5eTbR6GI_S0af0QuadUpB7MY/edit#response=ACYDBNidO4zq1jvLVACtkCdE0W19pyINw9ZAngw…
that you have now, not information you are going to create. My impress is that you have 10 days to provide
the above information (or 14 if you deem it’s necessary due to specific issues as outlined in the CPRA.

Thank you for your time and consideration. It’s unfortunate the amount of time and energy that is being
spent on this (and will continue to be spent) in light (pun intended) that all want what is best for the
environment, young people and communities near and far. We just need to seek a solution that take care of
the environment and all stakeholders.

I look forward to the information that you will be forwarding.

Have a nice day.

Thank you,

Best,

Robert